## SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

STATE OF ARIZONA,  v.  TIMOTHY STUART RING,	Appellee, )  Appellee, ) ) Appellant. )	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-97-0428-AP  Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR-95-01754(A)
STATE OF ARIZONA,  V.  LEMUEL PRION,	Appellee, ) ) () ) ) () () () () () () () () () ()	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0378-AP  Pima County Superior Court Nos. CR-58177 and CR-60263
	Appellant. ) )	
STATE OF ARIZONA,  V.  ANDRE LAMONT MINNITT,	) Appellee, ) ) ) ) )	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0243-AP  Pima County Superior Court No. CR-40086
	Appellant. ) )	
STATE OF ARIZONA,	Appellee, ) ) ) ) )	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-01-0272-AP  Yuma County Superior Court Nos. S1400CR198311801
BERNARD SMITH,	Appellant. ))	and S1400CR198311817

STATE OF ARIZONA,  v.  ANTOIN JONES,	Appellee, )  Appellee, ) )  Appellant. )	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0536-AP  Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR96-04691
STATE OF ARIZONA,  v.  DANNY N. MONTANO,	Appellee, ) ) ) )	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0439-AP Pima County Superior Court No. CR-58016
DANNI N. MONTANO,	Appellant. ))	
STATE OF ARIZONA,  v.  WAYNE BENOIT PRINCE,	Appellee, ) ) ) ) )	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-00-0328-AP  Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR98-04885
	Appellant. ))	
STATE OF ARIZONA,	) Appellee, ) ) ) )	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-00-0360-AP  Mohave County Superior Court No. CR-98-838
MICHAEL GENE BLAKLEY,	) Appellant. ) ) )	

STATE OF ARIZONA,  v.  HENRY WILLIAM HALL,	Appellee, ) ) ) ) ) )	Arizona Supreme No. CR-00-0447-7 Maricopa County Court No. CR1997-01169	AP Superior
A	ppellant. ) ) )		
STATE OF ARIZONA,  v.  SHAWN RYAN GRELL,	Appellee, ) ) ) ) )	Arizona Supreme No. CR-01-0275-A Maricopa County Court No. CR 1999-0952	AP Superior
	) ppellant. ) ) )		
STATE OF ARIZONA,	) Appellee, ) ) )	Arizona Supreme No. CR-00-0508-A  Mohave County Su Court No. CR-98-1243	ΑP
JAMES EDWARD DAVOLT, II,	<pre>ppellant. )</pre>	NO. CR 90 1243	
STATE OF ARIZONA,  v.	) : Appellee, ) ) :	Arizona Supreme ( No. CR-00-0544-AF Maricopa County S Court No. CR1997-	Superior
LEROY D. CROPPER,  Ap	) ) ppellant. ) ) )		
STATE OF ARIZONA,	)	Arizona Supreme	Court

V.  SHAD DANIEL ARMSTRONG,  Appellant.	No. CR-00-0595-AP  Pima County Superior  Court  No. CR-61846  )  )
STATE OF ARIZONA,  Appellee,  v.  EUGENE ROBERT TUCKER,  Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-01-0091-AP  Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR1999-015293
STATE OF ARIZONA,  Appellee,  v.  KAJORNSAK PRASERTPHONG,  Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-01-0100-AP  Pima County Superior Court No. CR-64663
STATE OF ARIZONA,  Appellee,  v.  CHRISTOPHER BO HUERSTEL,  Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-01-0103-AP  Pima County Superior Court No. CR-64663  )
STATE OF ARIZONA,	) Arizona Supreme Court ) No. CR-01-0129-AP

Appellee, )  v.  SHERMAN LEE RUTLEDGE,  Appellant.	Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR1997-05555
STATE OF ARIZONA,  Appellee,  V.  CHRISTOPHER GEORGE THEODORE LAMAR,  Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-01-0270-AP  Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR1996-011714
STATE OF ARIZONA,  Appellee,  V.  MICHAEL JOE MURDAUGH,  Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-01-0421-AP  Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR1995-006472
STATE OF ARIZONA,  Appellee,  V.  BRIAN JEFFREY DANN,  Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-02-0042-AP  Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR1999-003536
STATE OF ARIZONA,	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-02-0044-AP

v. ROBERT JOE MOODY,	Appellee, ) ) Appellant. )	Pima County Superior Court No. CR-43804
STATE OF ARIZONA,  v.  KEITH ROYAL PHILLIPS,	Appellee, ) ) ) )	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0296-AP  Pima County Superior Court No. CR-61452
	Appellant. )	
STATE OF ARIZONA,  V.  MARCUS LASALLE FINCH,	Appellee, ) )	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0551-AP  Pima County Superior Court No. CR-61452
	Appellant. )	
STATE OF ARIZONA,	Appellee, ) )	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0438-AP  Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR-98-003520
JOHN EDWARD SANSING,	Appellant. )	
STATE OF ARIZONA,	)	Supreme Court No. CR-98-0289-AP

v. JAMES CORNELL HARROD,	Appellee,	) Maricopa County Superior ) Court ) No. CR95-09046 ) )
V.  DARREL PETER PANDELI aka PETER FLORIAN,	Appellee,  DARREL Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court  No. CR-98-0376-AP  Maricopa County Superior  Court  No. CR-93-08116
STATE OF ARIZONA,  V.  SCOTT ALAN LEHR,	Appellee,	) Arizona Supreme Court ) No. CR-97-0317-PR ) ) Maricopa County ) Superior Court ) No. CR 92-05731 )
STATE OF ARIZONA,	Appellant. Appellee,	) ) ) Arizona Supreme Court ) No. CR-98-0488-AP ) Pinal County ) Superior Court ) No. CR-96-021235
ARTURO ANDA CANEZ,	Appellant.	) ) ) )
STATE OF ARIZONA,	Appellee,	) Arizona Supreme Court ) No. CR-97-0349-AP )

v. AARON SCOTT HOSKINS,	<pre>) Maricopa County ) Superior Court ) No. CR-94-11397 )</pre>
Appellant.	) )
STATE OF ARIZONA,	) Arizona Supreme Court ) No. CR-98-0278-AP
Appellee, v.	) ) Pima County ) Superior Court ) No. CR-55947
SCOTT DOUGLAS NORDSTROM,	)
Appellant.	) ) ORDER _)

The above-captioned cases have been consolidated for consideration of certain common issues in light of the decision by the Supreme Court of the United States in Ring v. Arizona, 536 U.S. \_\_\_ (2002). Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED: that the above-captioned consolidated cases have been set for Oral Argument before the Supreme Court of the State of Arizona on November 19, 2002 at 9:30 A.M., in its Courtroom, Phoenix, Arizona. Oral Arguments will be limited to 45 minutes for the State and 45 minutes for Appellants.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: The lead attorneys for the parties must sign-in at least thirty (30) minutes prior to the time set for arguments.

Supplemental citations of legal authority filed less than five days

before oral argument shall not be assured of consideration by the Court at oral argument unless good cause is shown for a later filing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: Notice of the lead attorney arguing for each side shall be given to the Arizona Supreme Court. These attorneys must sign the Acknowledgment of Receipt on the enclosed copy of this Notice and return it to the Supreme Court Clerk's Office within three days of receipt of this Notice.

Dated this	day	of	August,	2002.
------------	-----	----	---------	-------

\_\_\_\_\_

CHARLES E. JONES Chief Justice

Enclosures kab

## ARIZONA SUPREME COURT APPELLATE ADVOCACY INSTRUCTIONS FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS

- 1. If there is any change in the status of the case, the Court is to be informed immediately.
- 2. If a lawyer arguing fails to sign in at the Clerk's Office at least thirty minutes (30) prior to the time scheduled for argument, the case may be moved, vacated, or argument commenced without the lawyer being present, whichever the Court deems best under the circumstances.
- 3. If a lawyer intends to refer to exhibits during argument, he/she must deliver six copies to the Clerk at the time of sign-in, plus deliver one copy to each opponent.
- 4. If it is necessary to use an easel for exhibits during argument, the Clerk must be notified the day before so that proper arrangements can be made.
- 5. The attorneys' lounge located near the Supreme Court courtroom is available for use by lawyers arguing before the Court. Please check with the Clerk's Office for directions to the lounge.
- 6. A dress code is strictly enforced. Lawyers are required to dress appropriately for court appearances.
- 7. Lawyers must identify themselves at the time they commence their argument.
- 8. The microphone at the podium is for the purpose of recording and separate sound amplification.
- 9. The time allotted for each lawyer's argument is kept by the bailiff. Each lawyer must be aware of his/her allotted time. If the lawyer with opening argument wishes to save time for rebuttal, he/she will be responsible to conclude opening argument before his/her allotted time has been used. Please bear in mind that time spent answering questions posed by the Court will be charged against the allotted time for argument.
- 10. No one is allowed at the counsel table in front of the bar except those who have been admitted to the bar and are connected in some way with the case. Non-lawyers are not permitted at the counsel table or in front of the bar. Lawyers who are not connected with the case are not permitted.

- 11. Questions about procedure or protocol should be addressed with the Clerk before argument commences.
- 12. Lawyers requiring accommodations, such as Assisted Listening Devices (ALD), must contact the Clerk's Office upon receipt of this notice.

## TO:

Janet A Napolitano, Arizona Attorney General

Attn: Kent E Cattani

Andrew Hurwitz

John Stookey

Susan A Kettlewell, Pima County Public Defender

Attn: Brian X Metcalf, Lori J Lefferts, Rebecca A McLean, John F Calumbo and Frank P Leto

Carla G Ryan

John W Rood III

James J Haas, Maricopa County Public Defender's Office

Attn: Garrett W Simpson, Carol A Carrigan, Stephen R Collins, Lawrence S Matthew, Edward F McGee, James L Edgar, Charles R Krull, James R Rummage, Christopher Johns, James H Kemper, Terry J Adams, and Spencer D Heffel

J Conrad Baran

Thomas A Gorman

Rudolph J Gerber, Shughart Thomson Kilroy Goodwin Raup PC

Jennifer Bedier, Arizona Capital Representation Project

Julie S Hall, Arizona Capital Representation Project

Harriette P Levitt

S Jonathan Young, Law Offices of Williamson & Young PC

Robert W Doyle

Richard L Strohm, Law Offices of Richard L Strohm

Susan M Sherwin, Maricopa County Legal Advocate

Attn: Brent E Graham,

Michael S Reeves, Maricopa County Office of Court Appointed Counsel

J Douglas McVay

Stephen M Johnson

David Alan Darby

Thomas J Phalen

Tara K Allen

Jonathan Bass, Arizona Death Penalty Judicial Assistance Program (Southern Counties) [Information Copy Only]

Andrew P Zinner, Arizona Death Penalty Judicial Assistance Program (Northern Counties) [Information Copy Only]

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT

The Unders	_	_	_		the 1	Notice	of (	Oral .	Argument	and
Date					Sign	nature				
	PRINTED	name of	attorney	who	will	argue	the	case	<b>:</b>	